

Biotsenotic Relations Of Aphids (Homoptera, Aphidoidea) With Acclimatized Trees And Shrubs

 Mansurkhudjaeva M.U¹,  Ganieva Z.A.²,  Zokirov I.I.³,  Mirzaeva G.S.⁴,  Daminova.D.B.⁵ and  Burieva Kh.P⁶

^{1,2,4,5} Researcher of Institute of Zoology of the Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Uzbekistan

³ Docent of Fergana State University, PhD of Biology.

⁶ Doctoral student of the Department of "Zoology and Physiology".

Email: zooziya_zumrad@mail.ru

Abstract

The acclimatization of plants causes the aphid fauna of the same area to change, i.e. the formation of a specific aphidofauna. Along with the acclimatized plants, adventitious species that are not specific to the fauna of this region are emerging and becoming dangerous pests, while some local species are specializing in the survival of aphids on newly introduced plants.

According to the results of the study, 6 families of the dendroflora of Tashkent, 96 species of 46 genera of dendrophilic aphid live in 63 species of 23 families, 46 genera of acclimatized trees and shrubs.

The biggest number of aphid species, namely 33, live in the representatives of Rosaceae, Salicaceae, Fabaceae. This means that fauna consists of 34.4% of this aphid species. The role of Rosaceae and Salicaceae in this region is of particular importance, as only 19 species of aphids live in Rosaceae, while 9 species of these insects are pests in Salicaceae.

In general, the expansion of insect nutritional spectrum coverage plays an important role in the stability of the quantitative density of insect populations.

Keywords: acclimatized, trees and shrubs, aphids, dendrophil, fauna, nutritional spectrum, life cycle, biology, Tashkent, Uzbekistan.

Introduction

The main part of the ornamental and fruit plants grown in many parks, alleys, around the city highways of Uzbekistan are acclimatized trees and shrubs imported from different countries. In recent years, with the special attention paid to landscaping in our country, the diversity of ornamental plants in cities and settlements is enriched at the expense of acclimatized trees and shrubs.

The main part of the ornamental and fruit plants grown in many parks, alleys, around the city highways of Uzbekistan are trees and shrubs brought from different countries and acclimatized. In recent years, with the special attention paid to landscaping in our country, the diversity of ornamental plants in cities and settlements is enriched at the expense of acclimatized trees and shrubs.

The acclimatization of plants not only enriches the flora and biodiversity of the region, but also leads to changes in the fauna of insects, including aphids, that is, the formation of a unique aphidofauna. Along with the acclimatized plants, species that are not specific to the fauna of this region are emerging and becoming dangerous pests, while some local species specialize in the transfer of aphid to newly introduced plants [20]. These insects, which have a complex life cycle and continuous reproduction, cause serious damage to ornamental, fruit trees and shrubs throughout the season [15]. In order to prevent this situation, the study of the adaptive properties of aphids according to plant species is of great scientific and practical importance. Indeed, such data are important in the timely implementation of scientifically based effective control measures against aphids [3, 17].

There is a lot of research work on the study of aphids in Uzbekistan, but among them it is worth noting the research conducted by M.Kh. Akhmedov in 1972-1995. In his research on the fauna, biology, vertical distribution, and zoogeographic properties of the West Tianshandendrophilic aphids, he focused on the biology, distribution, and damage of some species of aphids living in acclimatized trees and shrubs [2]. In his subsequent research, the scientist provided a detailed analysis of the taxonomy, ecology and faunogenesis of aphid species in the arid mountain regions of Central Asia [3, 4, 5].

Studies on the nutritional spectrum of insects are found in some scientific sources. They mainly contain information on the adaptation characteristics, morphoecology and control of forage species of forage crops. Also, most of the work on the nutritional spectrum is devoted to the study of other groups of insects, and in Tashkent there are no studies on the nutritional spectrum of the aphid of acclimatized trees and shrubs [4, 13, 18, 19,].

As a continuation of the above work, we aimed to study the species composition of tree and shrub aphids, their nutritional spectrum. Preliminary research results have been published [15].

Materials and methodology

The scientific data presented in this article are based on the materials collected from Tashkent and adjacent areas for 2009-2020, the results of research and observations. Most of the materials were collected from March to the end of November, and some were also collected during the winter months.

During our research, ornamental, fruit trees and shrubs growing in all parks, gardens, sanatoriums and streets of Tashkent were observed, and samples of aphid were collected.

Samples of aphids were identified at the species level with the participation of aphidologists (M.Kh. Akhmedov, I.Zokirov, A.Khusanov). The identification of plants was carried out using special identifiers with the help of plant introduction and acclimatizing laboratory staff of the Botanical Garden of the Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Uzbekistan [7, 8, 9]. Aphid samples were collected and processed according to

the methods proposed by AK Mordvilko, GH Shaposhnikov [9, 14]. A trinocular microscope was used to prepare permanent preparations and analyze the size of the aphids. In the identification of acclimatized as well as native ornamental and fruit trees, reference has been made to the manuals and identifiers of several botanical scientists [1, 6, 10, 11].

Results

According to the results of the study, 6 families of the dendroflora of Tashkent, 96 species of 46 genera of dendrophilic aphid live in 63 species of 23 families, 46 genera of acclimatized trees and shrubs.

The biggest number of aphid species, namely 33, live in the representatives of Rosaceae, Salicaceae, Fabaceae. This means that fauna consists of 34.4% of this aphid species. The role of Rosaceae and Salicaceae in this region is of particular importance, as only 19 species of aphids live in Rosaceae, while 9 species of these insects are pests in Salicaceae.

Aphids belonging to 17 species are found in plants belonging to the families of Elaeagnaceae, Caprifoliaceae, Rhamnaceae, Cupressaceae, Pinaceae, Juglandaceae and Saxifragaceae and account for 17.7% of the fauna.

Only one representative of each acclimatized trees and shrubs of Berberidaceae, Tamaricaceae, Citrullaceae, Asegaseae, Fagaceae, Celastraceae, Malvaceae, Sambucaceae, Viburnaceae, Punicaceae, Punicaceae families is infected with aphids.

According to it, aphids live in different genera of Salix, Rosa, Lonicera, Ribes, Spiraea, Viburnum, Crataegus and Rubus. For example, in 9 species of Salix, 21 species of aphid are recorded, while Rosa serves as a food plant for aphid of 6 genera. In 5 species of Viburnum, only *Aphis fabae* aphid was found. In contrast, 5 species of aphids live in peaches one by one throughout the season. A similar situation can be observed in poplar aphid. In particular, 4 species of these insects were observed in 10 species of poplars. 6 species of aphid feed on almonds and plums, while Pinaceae has 7 species of aphid.

Representatives of the remaining 47 genera of trees and shrubs recorded 1 to 4 species of aphids (Table 1).

Also, when the identified species were analyzed by genera, 58 of them were monotypic, making up 1 species belonging to 1 genus. There are 22 bitypic genera with two species, 6 tritypic genera, 5 tetratypic genera, 3 pentatypic genera and 2 polytypic genera. The largest genera were *Aphis* L., and 14 species of this genus were identified in acclimatized trees and shrubs. Even when analyzing the nutritional spectrum of dendrophilic aphid, it was found that this figure was highest in the genus *Aphis* L., i.e., species belonging to this genus occur in representatives of 14 families of plants.

A complete list of all trees and shrubs with aphids was compiled and the species composition of these insects living in them was studied in detail. Based on the data obtained, the classification, position of plants, family, genus and species, the rate of occurrence of aphids are fully expressed in Table 1.

During the study, 52 species of aphid were recorded for the first time in new forage plants. In general, 52 species (54.2%) of the identified species in the Tashkent aphidofauna lived simultaneously on local and acclimatized food plants, while 61 species lived only on acclimatized trees and shrubs.

Table 1 List of trees and shrubs infested with aphid

Families, genera and species of plants	Types of aphid	Frequency of occurrence
PINACEAE		
Genus. <i>Pinus</i>		
1(1) <i>Pinuspallasiana</i>	<i>Eulachnusalticola</i> Börner, 1940 <i>Eulachnustauricus</i> Bozhko, 1961	++ +
Genus. <i>Picea</i>		
2(1) <i>Piceakoraiensis</i>	<i>Cinarapiceae</i> (Panzer, 1801)	+
3(2) <i>Picea schrenkiana</i>	<i>Cinarapiceae</i> (Panzer, 1801)	+
CUPRESSACEAE		
Genus. <i>Biota</i>		
4(1) <i>Biota orientalis</i>	<i>Cinara tujafilina</i> (del Guercio, 1909)	+++
Genus. <i>Juniperus</i>		
5(1) <i>Juniperusseravshanica</i>	<i>Cinaratujafilina</i> (del Guercio, 1909)	++
6(2) <i>Juniperusturkestanica</i>	<i>Cinaratujafilina</i> (del Guercio, 1909)	++
7(3) <i>Juniperuscommunis</i>	<i>Cinara tujafilina</i> (del Guercio, 1909)	++
Genus. <i>Thuja</i>		
8(1) <i>Thujaoccidentalis</i>	<i>Cinara tujafilina</i> (del Guercio, 1909)	+++
SALICACEAE		
Genus. <i>Populus</i>		
9(1) <i>Populus pyramidalis</i>	<i>Thecabiusaffinis</i> (Kaltenbach, 1843) <i>Pemphigus bursarius</i> (Linnaeus, 1758) <i>Pemphigus immunis</i> Buckton, 1896. <i>Pemphigus populi</i> Courchet, 1879 <i>Pemphigus populinigrae</i> (Schrank, 1801)	++ ++ ++ +++ ++

	<i>Pemphigus protospirae</i> Lichtenstein, 1885 <i>Pemphigus vesicarius</i> Passerini, 1861 <i>Chaitophorusleucomelas</i> Koch, 1854	+
10(2) <i>Populusnigra</i>	<i>Thecabiusaffinis</i> (Kaltenbach, 1843) <i>Pemphigus bursarius</i> (Linnaeus, 1758) <i>Pemphigus populi</i> Courchet, 1879 <i>Pemphigus populinigrae</i> (Schrank, 1801) <i>Pemphigus protospirae</i> Lichtenstein, 1885 <i>Pemphigus vesicarius</i> Passerini, 1861 <i>Chaitophorusleucomelas</i> Koch, 1854 <i>Chaitophoruspopulialbae</i> (Boyer de Fonscolombe, 1841) <i>Pterocomma populeum</i> (Kaltenbach, 1843)	++ ++ +++ +++ ++ + + +++ +++
11(3) <i>Populusdensa</i>	<i>Pemphigus napaeus</i> Buckton, 1896 <i>Pemphigus protospirae</i> Lichtenstein, 1885 <i>Pemphigus vesicarius</i> Passerini, 1861 <i>Pterocommapopuleum</i> (Kaltenbach, 1843)	+
12(4) <i>Populus alba</i>	<i>Chaitophoruspopuleti</i> (Panzer, 1804) <i>Chaitophoruspopulialbae</i> (Boyer de Fonscolombe, 1841)	++ +++
Genus. <i>Salix</i>		
13(1) <i>Salix alba</i>	<i>Tuberolachnussalignus</i> (Gmelin, 1790) <i>Chaitophoruscapreae</i> (Mosley, 1841) <i>Chaitophorussalicti</i> (Schrank, 1801) <i>Pterocommapilosum</i> Buckton, 1879 <i>Pterocommapopuleum</i> (Kalt.) <i>Aphis farinosa</i> Gmelin, 1790 <i>Cavariella aegopodii</i> (Scopoli, 1763) <i>Cavariellaarchangelicae</i> (Scopoli, 1763) <i>Cavariellapastinacae</i> (Linnaeus, 1758) <i>Cavariellatheobaldi</i> (Gillette & Bragg, 1918)	+++ ++ ++ +++ +++ +++ + + + ++
14(2) <i>Salix babylonica</i>	<i>Tuberolachnussalignus</i> (Gmelin, 1790) <i>Chaitophoruscapreae</i> (Mosley, 1841) <i>Chaitophorussalicti</i> (Schrank, 1801)	++ + +

	Pterocommapilosum Buckton, 1879 Pterocommapopuleum (Kaltenbach, 1843) Aphis farinosa Gmelin, 1790 Cavariella aegopodii (Scopoli, 1763) Cavariellaarchangelicae (Scopoli, 1763) Cavariellapastinacae (Linnaeus, 1758) Cavariellatheobaldi (Gillette & Bragg, 1918)	++ +++ ++ + ++ + ++
15(3) <i>Salix adenophylla</i>	Tuberolachnussalignus (Gmelin, 1790)	
16(4) <i>Salix purpurea</i>	Tuberolachnussalignus (Gmelin, 1790) Chaitophoruscapreae (Mosley, 1841) Pterocommapilosum Buckton, 1879 Pterocommapopuleum (Kaltenbach, 1843) Aphis farinosa Gmelin, 1790 Cavariellaarchangelicae (Scopoli, 1763) Cavariellapastinacae (Linnaeus, 1758) Cavariellatheobaldi (Gillette & Bragg, 1918)	+ + ++ ++ ++ + + +
17(5) <i>Salix cinerea</i>	Tuberolachnussalignus (Gmelin, 1790) Chaitophoruscapreae (Mosley, 1841) Cavariellaarchangelicae (Scopoli, 1763) Cavariellapastinacae (Linnaeus, 1758) Cavariellatheobaldi (Gillette & Bragg, 1918)	++ + + + +
JUGLANDACEAE		
Genus. <i>Juglandis</i>		
18(1) <i>Juglans regia</i>	Callaphisjuglandis (Goeze, 1778) Chromaphisjuglandicola (Kaltenbach, 1843)	+++ ++
19(2) <i>Juglans nigra</i>	Chromaphis juglandicola (Kaltenbach, 1843)	++
FAGACEAE		
Genus. <i>Quercus</i>		
20(1) <i>Quercus alba</i>	Tuberculatusannulatus(Hartig, 1841)	++
ULMACEAE		
Genus. <i>Ulmus</i>		
21(1) <i>Ulmusdensa</i>	Eriosoma lanuginosum (Hartig, 1839). Eriosoma phaenax Nevsky, 1929 Eriosoma ulmi (Linnaeus, 1758)	++ + +

	Kaltenbachiella pallida (Haliday, 1838) Thetraneuracoerulescens(Passerini, 1856) Thetraneuraulmi(Linnaeus, 1758) Tinocallissaltans (Nevsky, 1929)	+
BERBERIDACEAE		
Genus. Berberis		
22(1) Berberisnummularia	LiosomaphisturanicusNarzikulov, 1964 Berberidaphislydiae Narzikulov, 1957	++ +
SAXIFRAGACEAE		
Genus. Ribes		
23(1) Ribeshispidulum	Aphis grossulariae Kaltenbach, 1843. CryptomyzuselaeagniBörner, 1950 Hyperomyzus lactucae (Linnaeus, 1758)	++ + +
ROSACEAE		
Genus. Spiraea		
24(1) Spiraeahypericifolia	Aphis pomi de Geer, 1773 Aphis spiraephaga Muller, 1961 Aphis spiraefila Patch, 1914 BrachycaudusspiraeaeBörner, 1932	+++ ++ ++ ++
Genus. Amygdalus		
25(1) Amygdalus spinosissima	Pterochloroidespersicae (Cholodkovsky, 1899). Brachycaudushelichrysi (Kaltenbach, 1843). Brachycaudusamygdalinus (Schouteden, 1905)	++ + +
Genus. Prunus		
26(1) Prunus sogdiana	Pterochloroidespersicae (Cholodkovsky, 1899). Rhopalosiphumnymphaeae (Linnaeus, 1761). Hyalopteruspruni (Geoffroy, 1762). Brachycaudus (Acaudus) cardui (Linnaeus, 1761, 1858) Brachycaudus prunicola (Kaltenbach, 1843)	++ ++ +++ ++ ++
27(1) Prunus domestica	Pterochloroidespersicae (Cholodkovsky, 1899). Rhopalosiphumnymphaeae (Linnaeus, 1761). Hyalopteruspruni (Geoffroy, 1762).	++ +++ +

	<i>Brachycaudus (Acaudus) cardui</i> (Linnaeus, 1761, 1858) <i>Brachycaudus prunicola</i> (Kaltenbach, 1843) <i>Phorodon humuli</i> (Schrank, 1801).	+, +, ++
Genus. <i>Cotoniaster</i>		
28(1) <i>Cotoniasterhissarica</i>	<i>Dysaphismicrosiphon</i> (Nevs.)	++
Genus. <i>Pyrus</i>		
29(1) <i>Pyrus communis</i>	<i>Schizaphispyri</i> Shaposhnikov, 1952 <i>Aphis pomi</i> de Geer, 1773 <i>Anuraphissubterranea</i> (F.Walker, 1852). <i>Dysaphispyri</i> (Boyer de Fonscolombe, 1841). <i>DentatusreamuriRusanova</i> , 1942	++, ++, ++, +, +
Genus. <i>Malus</i>		
30(1) <i>Malus domestica</i>	<i>Eriosomalanigerum</i> (Hausmann, 1802) <i>Aphis pomi</i> de Geer, 1775 <i>Dysaphisplantaginea</i> (Passerini, 1860). <i>Dysaphisaffinis</i> (Mordvilko, 1928).	++, ++, ++, +
Genus. <i>Sorbus</i>		
31(1) <i>Sorbus persica</i>	<i>Aphis pomi</i> de Geer, 1775	++
Genus. <i>Crataegus</i>		
32(1) <i>Crataegusaltaica</i>	<i>Rhopalosiphum insertum</i> (Walker, 1849).	++
33(2) <i>Crataegushissarica</i>	<i>Aphis pomi</i> de Geer, 1775 <i>Dysaphiscrataegi</i> (Kaltenbach, 1843)	++, ++
34(3) <i>Crataegussongorica</i>	<i>Aphis pomi</i> de Geer, 1775	++
Genus. <i>Rubus</i>		
35(1) <i>Rubusoccidentalis</i>	<i>Aphis ruborum</i> (Börner, 1932) <i>Acyrthosiphonrubi</i> Narzikulov, 1957	++, +++
Genus. <i>Rosa</i>		
36(1) <i>Rosa beggeriana</i>	<i>Maculolachnussubmacula</i> (Walker, 1848) <i>Myzaphisrosarum</i> (Kaltenbach, 1843) <i>Metopolophiumdirhodum</i> (Walk.) <i>Amphorophoracatharinae</i> (Nevs.) <i>Macrosiphumrosae</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	++, ++, ++, ++, +++
37(2) <i>Rosa fedtschenkoana</i>	<i>Maculolachnussubmacula</i> (Walker, 1848)	+++

	<i>Myzaphisrosarum</i> (Kaltenbach, 1843) <i>Chaetosiphonchaetosiphon</i> (Nevs.) <i>Macrosiphumrosae</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	+++ ++ +++
Genus. <i>Cydonia</i>		
38(1) <i>Cydonia vulgaris</i>	<i>Aphis pomi</i> de Geer, 1775	++
39(2) <i>Cydonia oblonga</i>	<i>Aphis pomi</i> de Geer, 1775	++
	<i>Ovatusinsitus</i> (F.Walker, 1849)	+
Genus. <i>Armeniaca</i>		
40(1) <i>Armeniaca vulgaris</i>	<i>Pterochloroidespersicae</i> (Cholodkovsky, 1899). <i>Rhopalosiphumnymphaeae</i> (Linnaeus, 1761). <i>Hyalopteruspruni</i> (Geoffroy, 1762).	+ ++ +++
Genus. <i>Persica</i>		
41(1) <i>Persica vulgaris</i>	<i>Pterochloroidespersicae</i> (Cholodkovsky, 1899). <i>Hyalopteruspruni</i> (Geoffroy, 1762). <i>Brachycaudushelichrysi</i> (Kaltenbach, 1843). <i>Brachycaudus prunicola</i> (Kaltenbach, 1843) <i>Myzuspersicae</i> (Sulzer, 1776).	+++ +++ +++ ++ +++
Genus. <i>Padus</i>		
42(1) <i>Padusmahleb</i>	<i>Rhopalosiphum padi</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	+
FABACEAE –		
Genus. <i>Robinia</i>		
43(1) <i>Robiniapseudacacia</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i> Koch, 1854.	+++
Genus. <i>Gleditschina</i>		
44(1) <i>Gleditschinacaspica</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i> Glover, 1877	+
Genus. <i>Albizia</i>		
45(1) <i>Albizia julibrissin</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i> Glover, 1877 <i>Aphis craccivora</i> Koch, 1854.	+
Genus. <i>Amorpha</i>		
46(1) <i>Amorphacanescens</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i> Koch, 1854.	++
Genus. <i>Caragana</i>		
47(1) <i>Caragana acanthophylla</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i> Koch, 1854.	++
CELASTRACEAE		
Genus. <i>Evonymus</i>		

48(1) <i>Evonymus americana</i>	<i>Aphis fabae</i> subsp. <i>evonymi</i> Fabricius, 1775	+
ACERACEAE		
Genus. <i>Acer</i>		
49(1) <i>Acer regelii</i>	<i>Periphyllus mamontovae</i> Narzikulov, 1957 <i>Periphyllus nevskyi Mamontova</i> , 1955	+
RHAMNACEAE		
Genus. <i>Rhamnus</i>		
50(1) <i>Rhamnus cathartica</i>	<i>Macchiatiella rhamni</i> subsp. <i>tarani</i> (Nevs) <i>Aphis frangulae</i> Kaltenbach, 1845 <i>Aphis nasturtii</i> Kaltenbach, 1843	+
		++
		+
Genus. <i>Frangula</i>		
51(1) <i>Frangula alnus</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i> Glover, 1877	++
MALVACEAE		
Genus. <i>Hibiscus</i>		
52(1) <i>Hibiscus syriacus</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i> Glover, 1877	++
TAMARICACEAE		
Genus. <i>Tamarix</i>		
53(1) <i>Tamarix ramosissima</i>	<i>Brachyunguistamaricis</i> (Lichtenstein, 1885) <i>Brachyunguistamaricophilus</i> (Nevsky, 1928)	++
ELAEAGNACEAE		
Genus. <i>Hyppophae</i>		
54(1) <i>Hyppophaea rhamnoides</i>	<i>Capitophorus hippophae</i> (Walker, 1852)	+
Genus. <i>Eleagnus</i>		
55(1) <i>Eleagnus angustifolia</i>	<i>Capitophorus archangelskii</i> Nevsky, 1928 <i>Capitophorus elaeagni</i> (del Guercio, 1894) <i>Capitophorus hippophae</i> (Walker, 1852) <i>Capitophorus pakansus</i> Hottes&Frison, 1931.	++ + + +
BIGNONIACEAE		
Genus. <i>Tecoma</i> (<i>Campsis</i>)		
56(1) <i>Campsis radicans</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i> Glover, 1877	+
CAPRIFOLIACEAE		
Genus. <i>Lonicera</i>		

157(1) <i>Lonicera tatarica</i>	<i>Prociphilusumarovi</i> Narzikulov, 1964 <i>Prociphilusxylostei</i> (De Geer, 1773) <i>Hyadaphistataricae</i> (Aizenberg, 1935) <i>Semiaphislonicerina</i> Shaposhnikov, 1952	++ ++ +++ ++
58(2) <i>Lonicera simulatrix</i>	<i>Hyadaphispasserinii</i> (del Guercio, 1911) <i>Semiaphislonicerina</i> Shaposhnikov, 1952 <i>Rhopalomyzus lonicerae</i> (Siebold, 1839)	+++ ++ ++
SAMBUCACEAE		
Genus. <i>Sumbucus</i>		
59(1) <i>Sumbucus canadensis</i>	<i>Aphis sambuci</i> Linnaeus, 1758.	+
VIBURNACEAE		
Genus. <i>Viburnum</i>		
60(1) <i>Viburnum acerifolium</i>	<i>Aphis fabae</i> Scopoli, 1763.	+
PUNICACEAE		
Genus. <i>Punica</i>		
61(1) <i>Punicagranatum</i>	<i>Aphis punicae</i> Passerini, 1863.	+
VITACEAE		
Genus. <i>Vitis</i>		
62(1) <i>Vitis vinifera</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i> Glover, 1877	+
CITRULLACEAE		
Genus. <i>Citrullus</i>		
63(1) <i>Citrullus limonum</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i> Glover, 1877 <i>Myzuspersicae</i> (Sulzer, 1776).	+
		+
		+

CONCLUSION

In Uzbekistan, the acclimatization of plants has led to a change in the fauna of the aphids, that is, the formation of a unique aphidofauna. Along with the acclimatized plants, adventitious species that are not unique to the fauna of this region have emerged, while some native species have specialized in the transition of aphids to newly introduced plants.

According to the results of the study, 6 families of the dendroflora of Tashkent, 96 species of 46 genera of dendrophilic aphids live in 63 species of 23 families, 46 genera of acclimatized trees and shrubs.

Studies have shown that in Pinaceae, the feeding rate of 3 species of aphid, including Eulachnusalticola aphid, is somewhat higher. The fact that only Cinaratujafilina is common in 5 species of Cupressaceae indicates that stable colonies of this species constitute the perfect nutritional spectrum.

There are 21 species of aphids on the trunks, branches and leaves of 9 species of Salicaceae, and Pemphigus populinigrae, Pemphigus protospirae, Pterocommapopuleum, Pterocommapilosum, Chaitophoruspopulialbae, Tuberolachnussalignus and Aphis farinosa have wide nutritional spectrum.

There are only 2 species of Juglandaceae, and the plants that make up their nutritional spectrum are Juglans regia and Juglans nigra.

Tuberculatusannulatus is the only species that causes damage in Fagaceae.

Most generations of aphids specialize in feeding on the representatives of Rosaceae, with 33 species of aphids in 19 species of Rosaceae. There are also aphids that make up 2 or more plants in their nutritional spectrum.

In general, the expansion of insect nutritional spectrum coverage plays an important role in the stability of the quantitative density of insect populations.

REFERENCES

1. Abdurakhmonov A.A. (1966) Rastenya Dal'nego Vostoka iントroduсируются Botanicheskimi sadom Akademii nauk Uzbekskoy SSR, Tashkent: Fan.
2. Akhmedov M.Kh. (1980) Dendrofil'niyetli Zapadnogo Tyan'-Shanya (fauna, formirovaniye i zoogeograficheskiye osobennosti), synopsis, PhD of Biology, Baku.
3. Akhmedov M.Kh. (1995) Tli – afididi (Homoptera, Aphidinea, Aphididae) arido-gornyh zon Sredney Azii (ekologiya, faunogenetika, taksonomiya). Synopsis, PhD of Biology, Tashkent.
4. Akhmedov M.Kh., Zokirov, I.I., Khusanov, A.K. & Urmanova D.E. (2011) Rozanniyetli (Homoptera, Aphidinea), ihrasprostraneniye i osobennosti ispolzovaniya kormovogorasteniya, Natual and Technical sciences, , (2), 102-105.
5. Akhmedov M.Kh., & Khusanov, A.K. (2017). Fauna, osobennosti obitaniya i rasprostraneniya beryozovykh tley (Homoptera, Aphidinea) v Sentralnoy Azii, Russian Parasitological journal (2 (40)).
6. Lapin A.M. (1938) Opredelitel' rastneiy Tashkentskogo azisa, Tashkent: State Publishing UzSSR.
7. Mordvilko A.K. (1914) Aphidoidea (Fauna of Russia) i sopredel'nyh stran, nasekomye polujest kokriliye - Petrograd
8. Mordvilko A.K. (1929) Kormoviye rasteniya tley SSSR i sopredel'nyh stran Entomol.

9. Mordvilko A.K. (1910) Nastavleniya k nablyudeniyam nadtlyami (Aphididae family), sobiraniyu i sohraneniyu ih. Nastavleniya dlya sobiraniya zoologicheskikh kolleksiy.
10. Nabiiev M.M., Kazakbaev R.Yu. (1975) Opredelitel' dekorativnykh derevyev i kustarnikov Uzbekistana, Tashkent: Fan..
11. Nazarenko L.I. (1973) Opredelitel' derevyev i kustarnikov Tashkentskoy oblasti
12. Khusanov A.K., Sabirov O.T. & Yakhshiboeva G.O. (2018) Morphobiologicheskiye osobennosti myagkoylojno shitovki (*Coccus hesperidum* L.) v usloviyah Vostochnoy Fergani, Actual questions regarding education and science. pp. 167-169.
13. Khusanov A.K., & Zokirov I. (2013). Osobennosti rafcheskih svyazey tley (Homoptera, Aphidinea) Ferganskogohrebta.
14. Shaposhnikov G.Kh. (1964) Podotryad Aphidinea – Tili, Opredelitel' nasekomykh Evropeyskoy chasti SSSR, Moscow.
15. Mansurkhodjaeva M.U., Ganieva Z.A., Zakirov I.I., Mirzaeva G. S., Akhmedova Z.Y., Khashimova M.Kh. Fauna of tree and shrub aphids (Homoptera, aphidinea) acclimated to Tashkent // International Journal of Advanced Research (IJAR), 2020, Vol. 8, Issue 11 (November), pp. 80-89.
16. Masodiqova, M. A. Q., & Zokirova, G. M. (2021). Farg'onavodiysisharoitida *Aphis punicae* Passerini, 1863 shirasiningbiologiyasi va yotiysi kl. Academic research in educational sciences, 2(6), 381-387.
17. Zokirov, I. I., & Azimov, D. A. (2019). The Fauna of Insects of Vegetables and Melons of Central Fergana, Especially Its Distribution and Ecology. International Journal of Science and Research.(IJSR).-India, Raipur, 8(8), 930-937.
18. Zokirov, I. I., Khusanov, A. K., & Kuranov, A. D. (2019). Faunistic analysis of central fergana's vegetable and melon crops insects. ILMUY XABARNOMA, 45.
19. Zokirov, I. I., Mansurkhodjaeva, M. U., Akhmedova, Z. Y., Khashimova, M. K., & Turaeva, Z. R. (2020). Phytophagous insects of vegetable and melon agrocenosis of Central Fergana. International Journal of Agriculture, Environment and Bioresearch (IJAEB), 5(02), 64-71.
20. Yunusov, M. M., & Zokirov, I. I. (2021). Farg'onavodiysiningayrim dendrofil shiralari (Homoptera, Aphidoidea) bioekologiyasi. Academic research in educational sciences, 2(6), 1289-1299.
<https://doi.org/10.24412/2181-1385-2021-6-1289-1299>