

Determinants of COVID-19 Vaccine Acceptance in West Papua, Indonesia

BUTET AGUSTARIKA¹, SIMON L MOMOT², ALVA CHERRY MUSTAMU³

¹Health Polytechnic of Health Ministry Sorong, Indonesia

²Health Polytechnic of Health Ministry Sorong, Indonesia

³Health Polytechnic of Health Ministry Sorong, Indonesia

Abstract

a COVID-19 vaccine is available but acceptance in the community is still low. One of the causes of this is information that is lacking and cannot be understood by the community because it is not specific to the context of geography, culture, and sociodemography, The aim of this research is to understand the people's desire for the COVID-19 vaccine in West Papua, Indonesia. A web-based cross-sectional study was conducted using a snowball sampling strategy under a very limited environment. Questionnaires were designed and sent to study participants via social media forms. Study participants were recruited throughout West Papua. The main determinants predicting vaccine acceptance among respondents were modeled using logistic regression analysis. A total of 314 participants were willing to take the survey. Of the 314 participants, we found that education level, knowledge, and fear were the main factors predicting acceptance of the Covid-19 vaccine, respectively (p-value; 0.019, 0.018, and 0.031). Sociodemographic determinants related to COVID-19 vaccination to develop new effective interventions to increase information stability, identify key factors, Health education interventions that are appropriate for the language, culture, and socioeconomics can help increase the uptake of global vaccination programs to cope with future pandemics.

Keywords: covid-19, vaccine acceptance, fearness

INTRODUCTION :

The 2019 coronavirus disease pandemic (COVID-19) has spread across the world with millions of people infected and dying(1). The pandemic is a significant threat to the public health system including the consequences of economic disasters around the world(2). Meanwhile, most of the affected countries have developed successful response strategies and experienced significant improvement in recovery. A vaccine to prevent COVID-19 infection may be the best hope for ending the pandemic.

However, information on public perceptions of acceptance of the COVID-19 vaccine is not available. Numerous studies have identified several factors that are responsible for vaccine acceptance when a new vaccine is introduced (3,4) These include the safety and efficacy of vaccines, adverse health outcomes, misconceptions about the need for vaccination, lack of trust in the health system, lack of public knowledge about vaccine-preventable diseases . Misinformation that leads to concerns and fear of vaccines can jeopardize public health in response to the current crisis.

Acceptance and demand for vaccines are complex and context-specific, varying across time, place, and perceived behavioral nature of people (5). Equitable, vaccination across all population groups is a challenge because of the complexity of human behavior that changes over time and space.

Several studies have investigated the prevalence of receiving the COVID-19 vaccine and its determinants(6,7). Another study in the United States reports that only 20% intend to refuse the COVID-19 vaccine (6). Because vaccine acceptance is context specific and varies according to geography, culture and sociodemographics, study aims is to understand the public's desire for the COVID-19 Vaccine in West Papua, Indonesia.

MATERIAL and METHODS :

Data were collected using an electronic questionnaire via google form. The survey website address is distributed via Facebook, Instagram and WhatsApp. At the end of December 2020, participants completed a questionnaire on Google Form. Our sampling objective is to be representative of the general population in West Papua, Indonesia by age, sex, education, occupation and marital status. In addition, we are also looking for information about knowledge and concerns about receiving the Covid-19 vaccine. Participants are eligible if they are 18 years or over, can read Indonesian, and have a gmail account with access to the internet via a computer or smartphone. This research is voluntary and the respondents do not receive any compensation.

Basic demographic information was collected as well as Education, occupation, and marital status. In addition, we asked participants how strongly they agreed with the following statements (Nominal scale; yes and no) knowledge about the covid-19 vaccine (Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.339$). Concerns about receiving vaccines; "Does the new variant of Covid-19 make you feel that the vaccine that is being given is in vain" (Nominal scale; yes and no). Variables receiving the COVID-19 vaccine (nominal scale; yes and no). The Health Polytechnic of Health Ministry Sorong Research Ethics Board of the Ministry of Health of Sorong approved this research (protocol number DM.03.05 /6/045/2020). Participants give consent prior to data collection.

This research is an open survey, where every an individual who gets a website address and is willing to participate in this research. Respondents who participated in this study were as many as 314 people. Descriptive statistics (frequency, percentage) were calculated for the demographic characteristics of the sample. In addition, the frequency and percentage, knowledge of vaccines, concerns and, acceptances of the COVID-19 vaccine were also calculated. Logistic regression analysis was used to assess the odds' ratio for demographic factors, knowledge of vaccines, and concerns with receiving COVID-19 vaccine. Data were analyzed using SPSS. This study was funded by the Health Polytechnic of Health Ministry Sorong. All authors have full access to all data in this study and are responsible for the decision to submit the manuscript.

RESULTS :

A total of 314 participants completed the survey with 171 (54.5%) women aged 18-30 years as many as 73 (23.2%) participants. Most of them have tertiary education, as many as 110 (35%) participants, married as many as 264 (81.1%) and became employees 117 (37.3%) participants.

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of the survey participants.

Variable	Total (N=314) n (%)	COVID-19 vaccine Acceptance n (%)
Gender		
Male	143(45.5)	53(38.7)
Female	171(54.5)	84(61.3)
Age		
18-30	73(23.2)	27(19.7)
31-40	60(19.1)	29(21.2)
41-50	63(20.1)	33(24.1)
51-60	59(18.8)	26(19)
>61	59(18.8)	22(16.1)
Education		
primary	100(31.8)	48 (35)
secondary	104(33.1)	47(34.3)
tertiary	110(35%)	42(30.7)
Occupation		

employed	117(37.3)	48(35)
non-employed	92(29.3)	41(29.9)
retired	30(9.6)	9(6.6)
students	18(5.7)	7(5.1)
housewives	57(18.2)	32(23.4)
Marital status		
not married	5(1.6)	3(2.2)
married	264(81.1)	116(84.7)
widow	10(3.2)	4(2.9)
single	35(11.1)	14 (10.2)
Knowledge		
Less	188(59.9)	77(56.2)
Good	126(40.1)	60(43.8)
Fearness		
No	156(49.7)	77 (56.2)
Yes	158(50.3)	60(43.8)

Of the 314 participants surveyed, 137 (43.6%) said they would receive the COVID-19 vaccine if recommended. As many as 61.3% of women are willing to receive the vaccine compared to men. Participants aged 41-50 (24.1%) were more willing to receive the covid-19 vaccine than younger or older ages. Respondents with primary education such as elementary school (35%) are more willing to receive vaccines than those with higher education. Respondents who work as employees (35%) are more willing to receive vaccines than those who are not employees, unemployed or retired. Married participants (84.7%) are more willing to receive the vaccine than those who are not married or widows or widowers and are not married. Participants who had less knowledge about Covid-19 (56.2%) were more willing to receive the vaccine than those with good knowledge. As many as 56.2% of respondents who are not worried about the Covid-19 vaccine are more willing to receive the vaccine.

Multivariable Analysis

The multivariable regression model describes cases of vaccine acceptance. Gender (odds ratio [OR] = 1.475; 95% confidence interval [CI] = 0.903-2.408; $P > 0.05$), age (OR = 1.003; 95% CI = 0.852-1.180; $P > 0.05$), education level (OR = 0.635; 95% CI = 0.434-0.927; $P < 0.05$), occupation (OR = 1.025; 95% CI = 0.861-1.221; $P > 0.05$), marital status (OR = 0.945; 95% CI = 0.660-1.353; $P < 0.05$), level of knowledge (OR = 2.064; 95% CI = 1.133-3.762; $P < 0.05$) and fearness (OR = 0.602; 95% CI = 0.379- 0.955; $P < 0.05$)

Table 2. Summary of Logistic Regression Analysis for Variables Predicting Covid-19 Vaccine Acceptance (n = 314).

Predictor	OR	SE	95% CI	P
Gender	1.475	0.250	0.903-2.408	0.120
Age	1.003	0.083	0.852-1.180	0.974
Education	0.635	0.183	0.434-0.927	0.019
Occupation	1.025	0.089	0.861-1.221	0.777
Marital status	0.945	0.193	0.660-1.353	0.757
Knowledge	2.064	0.306	1.133-3.762	0.018
Fearness	0.602	0.236	0.379-0.955	0.031

DISCUSSION :

We found that education level, knowledge and fear was the main predictive factors for vaccine acceptance. This is in accordance with several previous studies (8,9). Which states that the level of education and knowledge is one of the most important factors in determining someone to receive the vaccine.

COVID-19 is a new disease that was discovered in Wuhan, China about a year ago. The treatment for this disease is not yet clear because a specific drug for this disease is not yet available. Then came the vaccine which is currently starting to be distributed in the world, including Indonesia. This raises a concern among the public due to the lack of clear and reliable information.

When a lot of COVID-19 vaccines are being developed (10). To seek the substances necessary for attaining immunity, we must understand the exact causes of fear and doubt that exist in society. This basis will be used for the development of evidence-based interventions.

The education level, knowledge level and fear has a very close relationship in regulating a person's behavior, including in receiving vaccines. The level of education makes a person more agile in using the media to access information. When receiving information, knowledge will increase. When the information obtained is invalid, behavioral concerns will arise.

In this study, we found that participants became concerned about vaccination due to a new variant of covid-19 so that vaccinations were in vain. This was stated in several sources which stated there was a new variant of Covid-19.(11,12). In addition, several studies have found that many phase 3 studies fail due to the misidentification of doses and thus, doubt the safety and efficacy of the vaccine (13).

The authors point out that a planned phase 3 trial of the SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccine is imminent. This trial will require thousands of subjects to ensure vaccine safety and to demonstrate statistically strong efficacy in preventing Covid-19. Efficacy could only be determined if there was a match between the locations of the vaccinated participants and pandemic hotspots. The uncertainty about the expected effectiveness profile also drives complexity; the observed profile for other viral vaccines suggests that efficacy against severe Covid-19 may be higher than efficacy against mild disease (14).

However, what must be paid attention is the information circulating in the community must be valid so as not to cause speculation and uproar of information to worry that it will result in not receiving the vaccine in society. Providing vaccine information and education has not been shown to be a consistently effective strategy for increasing vaccine uptake. The personal decision-making process involves indirect social and emotional pressures.

CONCLUSIONS :

This study has several limitations. The distribution of respondents in each area is unequal, and may limit the generalizability of results; In conclusion, the biggest predictors of vaccine acceptance are education, knowledge and concern. Further, studies to identify key actionable factors are needed to develop new effective interventions to promote information stability to increase interest in immunization acceptance.

ABBREVIATIONS :

COVID-19: Coronavirus disease

DM : Peningkatan sumber daya manusia kesehatan

SARS : *evere acute respiratory syndrome*

CoV-2 : corona virus type 2

mRNA : *messenger* ribonukleat acid

DECLARATIONS :**Ethics approval and consent to participate :**

In agreement with the Declaration of Helsinki, the proposal was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) Health Polytechnic of Health Ministry Sorong Research Ethics Board of the Ministry of Health of Sorong (protocol number DM.03.05 /6/045/2020).

Consent for publication :

Not applicable.

Availability of data and material :

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests :

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding :

None

Authors' contributions:**Affiliations :****Health Polytechnic of Health Ministry Sorong, Indonesia :**

BA, and ACM are involved in the conception and design of the study, performed data analysis and interpretation, wrote the manuscript, supervised the development of work, and helped in data interpretation and manuscript evaluation. ACM helped to evaluate and edit the manuscript. BA acted as the corresponding author. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements :

We are appreciative to Health Polytechnic of Health Ministry Sorong, Indonesia that participated in the research

REFERENCES :

1. Malik AA, McFadden SM, Elharake J, Omer SB. Determinants of COVID-19 vaccine acceptance in the US. *EClinicalMedicine* [Internet]. 2020 Sep 1 [cited 2021 Jan 11];26. Available from: [https://www.thelancet.com/journals/eclinm/article/PIIS2589-5370\(20\)30239-X/abstract](https://www.thelancet.com/journals/eclinm/article/PIIS2589-5370(20)30239-X/abstract)
2. Chakraborty C, Sharma AR, Bhattacharya M, Sharma G, Lee S-S. The 2019 novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic: A zoonotic prospective. *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Medicine* [Internet]. 2020 Jun 1 [cited 2021 Jan 11];13(6):242. Available from: <https://www.apjtm.org/article.asp?issn=1995-7645;year=2020;volume=13;issue=6;spage=242;epage=246;aulast=Chakraborty;type=0>
3. Xiao X, Wong RM. Vaccine hesitancy and perceived behavioral control: A meta-analysis. *Vaccine*. 2020 Jul 14;38(33):5131–8.
4. Gidengil CA, Parker AM, Zikmund-Fisher BJ. Trends in Risk Perceptions and Vaccination Intentions: A Longitudinal Study of the First Year of the H1N1 Pandemic. *Am J Public Health* [Internet]. 2012 Apr

- [cited 2021 Jan 11];102(4):672–9. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3297965/>
5. Habersaat KB, Jackson C. Understanding vaccine acceptance and demand—and ways to increase them. *Bundesgesundheitsblatt Gesundheitsforschung Gesundheitsschutz* [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2021 Jan 11];63(1):32–9. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6925076/>
 6. Thunstrom L, Ashworth M, Finnoff D, Newbold S. Hesitancy Towards a COVID-19 Vaccine and Prospects for Herd Immunity [Internet]. Rochester, NY: Social Science Research Network; 2020 Jun [cited 2021 Jan 11]. Report No.: ID 3593098. Available from: <https://papers.ssrn.com/abstract=3593098>
 7. Fu C, Wei Z, Pei S, Li S, Sun X, Liu P. Acceptance and preference for COVID-19 vaccination in health-care workers (HCWs). *medRxiv* [Internet]. 2020 Apr 14 [cited 2021 Jan 11];2020.04.09.20060103. Available from: <https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.04.09.20060103v1>
 8. Kara SS, Polat M, Yayla BC, Demirdag TB, Tapisiz A, Tezer H, et al. Parental vaccine knowledge and behaviours: a survey of Turkish families. *East Mediterr Health J* [Internet]. 2018 May 1 [cited 2021 Jan 10];24(5):451–8. Available from: http://applications.emro.who.int/emhj/v24/05/EMHJ_2018_24_05_451_458.pdf
 9. Awadh AI, Hassali MA, Al-lela OQ, Bux SH, Elkalmi RM, Hadi H. Does an educational intervention improve parents' knowledge about immunization? Experience from Malaysia. *BMC Pediatrics* [Internet]. 2014 Oct 6 [cited 2021 Jan 10];14(1):254. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2431-14-254>
 10. Schaffer DeRoo S, Pudalov NJ, Fu LY. Planning for a COVID-19 Vaccination Program. *JAMA* [Internet]. 2020 Jun 23 [cited 2021 Jan 10];323(24):2458–9. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.8711>
 11. BBC. Varian baru virus corona yang menginfeksi anak muda ditemukan di Afrika Selatan. *BBC News Indonesia* [Internet]. [cited 2021 Jan 11]; Available from: <https://www.bbc.com/indonesia/dunia-55420197>
 12. Baric RS. Emergence of a Highly Fit SARS-CoV-2 Variant. *New England Journal of Medicine* [Internet]. 2020 Dec 31 [cited 2021 Jan 11];383(27):2684–6. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMcibr2032888>
 13. Musuamba FT, Manolis E, Holford N, Cheung SYA, Friberg LE, Ogungbenro K, et al. Advanced Methods for Dose and Regimen Finding During Drug Development: Summary of the EMA/EFPIA Workshop on Dose Finding (London 4–5 December 2014). *CPT: Pharmacometrics & Systems Pharmacology* [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2021 Jan 11];6(7):418–29. Available from: <https://ascpt.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/psp4.12196%4010.1002/%28ISSN%292163-8306.PBPKModeling>
 14. Heaton PM. The Covid-19 Vaccine-Development Multiverse. *New England Journal of Medicine* [Internet]. 2020 Nov 12 [cited 2021 Jan 11];383(20):1986–8. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMe2025111>