Nat. Volatiles & Essent. Oils, 2021; 8(5): 13445-13456

NVEO
Natural Volatiles & Essential Oils

Effect Of Drought On Morpho-Physiological Responses Of Plant And Select The Best Cultivar With Maximum Drought Tolerance Potential

[1*]Ansa Rebi , [2]Sharjeel Ashfaq , [3]Ahmed Raza , [4]Hafeez-U-Rehman , [5]Saima Nazar , [3]Imtiaz Ahmad Warraich , [6]Sumreen Siddiq , [7]Muhammad Arif , [8]Nadeem Raza , [9]Asim Pervez , [10*]Muhammad Ibrar , [10]Ahmad , [10]Rizwana Kausar , [7]Asifa Naz

[1]Jianshui Research Station, School of Soil and water conservation, Beijing Forestry University, Beijing 100083, China.

[2]Faculty of Bioscience Engineering, Ghent University-9000, Belgium.

[3]Fodder research institute Sargodha-40100, Punjab, Pakistan.

[4]Soil and water testing laboratory, Sialkot-51480, Punjab, Pakistan.

[5]Soil fertility Section, Gujranwala-52230, Punjab, Pakistan.

[6]Soil and water testing laboratory, Ayub Agriculture Research Institute-38040, Faisalabad, Pakistan.

[7]Soil and water testing laboratory khushab-41000, Punjab, Pakistan.

[8]Soil Fertility Section, Ayub Agriculture Research Institute-38040, Faisalabad, Pakistan.

[9]Citrus research institute, Sargodha-40100, Punjab, Pakistan.

[10]Soil and Water Testing Laboratory, Sargodha 40100, Punjab, Pakistan.

Abstract:

Drought tolerance and its systems in plants have been considered by different scientists in the world. Drought tolerance shifts among species and within cultivars too. Severe and long dry spell influences the capacities of the plant essential organelles and biochemical pathways. Plant shows different morphological, anatomical and physiological adjustments because of degree and span of drought. Different methodologies are utilized to cope with dry season including dry spell tolerant germplasm screening, breeding and hereditarily changed plants advancement. Underlined, is the perspective of the different parts of dry season and its effect on plants life. So, to overcome the drought stress there are number of plants which can be grow to reduce its stress.

Introduction

Drought is termed as the time of below ordinal water dampness accessibility that lessens plant benefit and development of a naturally or developed plants (Kramer and Boyer, 1995; Boyer, 1982; Khan MU et al. 2021). It has been distinguished that drought stress is an exceptionally significant warning factor at the starting phase of plant development and organization of parts. It influences both prolongation and

expansion growth of the influenced plants. Deficit irrigation is a challenging attribute which might be overcome by a managerial technique in which water will be spared with tolerating little yield decrease without any extreme harm to the plant (Rebi et al. 2021; Hameed M.U. et al. 2021). In deficiency of the watering system the water amount decline contrasted with typical watering system at every irrigation level (Nawaz et al. 2021). In numerous nations of the world it is recognized as one of the significant farming anxieties. Different models of atmosphere have depicted that dry spell provenance will be getting more usual in light of the long haul results of global warming (Cook et al., 2007; Salinger et al., 2005; Mehmood A et al.2021). Which in turns is concentrating on the dire need to plan good farming arranging and methodologies for constantly changing natural conditions. Generally, 36% of the area on the world is acknowledged as arid to semi-arid getting just 13cm to 78cm of yearly rainfall (Raheja, 1966). A substantial extent of the remaining 64% area range confronts brief water anxiety throughout the crop season in a year. It is depicted from different reports that in current years there has been extreme water deficiency in the world. The UNO monetary report for the year 2000-2001 portrayed that 2.18 billion hectares of the world's region is confronting drought. 110 nations of the world were seriously influenced by drought. Counting nations like Ethiopia, Afghanistan and some piece of India China and United States were under genuine drought administrations. However, the situation delineated in Pakistan was truly diverse and exceptionally undermining in outcomes in agribusiness industry and provincial urban abodes (Ahmad, 2002). A huge piece of agricultural farming area remains un-watered because of absence of water. The deficiency of water and low yearly precipitation are the significant dangers in changing over expansive agricultural territories into deserts (Ashraf, 2006). In the year 2000-2001, 75% of the nation area' was under drought stress. The extent of precipitation was brought down to 52% in Balochistan, 66% in Sindh, 20% in the NWFP and 13% in Punjab (Metrological report, The Government of Pakistan, Islamabad 2000-2001). Expanding occurrence of drought, desertification of area, deforestation of rich green forests and soil erosion in Pakistan are making a significant circumstance of arid and semiarid locales (Akhtar et al., 2000a; 2000b). Keeping in mind the end goal to face the fiasco it is need of the time to make enhancement in irrigation system administration framework and to screen and create such plants which can endure water stress.

Effects of drought stress on plants

Environmental change, rainfall patterns, heat extremes, saltiness, lower water table of the farming area and other abiotic hassles puts an extraordinary danger to the benefit and yield of the crops and is picking up prevalence to find sensible answer for these ecological extremes in agricultural frameworks. Around all stress, some basic manifestation of the unfavorable conditions that a plant may face include a restricted accessibility of irrigation water, extremes of temperature including chilling and lack of vital nutrients from the beginning (in exchange brings about the framing of the dangerous substances and overwhelming metals), intense light (particularly when photosynthesis shows restriction) or expanded compaction of the cultivated soil which thusly breaking points root establishment and florishment. It is clear from the outcomes of the different researchers that these a-biotic burdens put stress on the crop effectiveness (Kafi and Damghani, 2001; Boyer, 1982; Araus et al., 2002; Flexas and Medrano, 2002; Munns, 2002) and perform an essential part in organizing the distribution of plant species in diverse sorts of environments. From cell to organizational level of plant development, impact of stress is normally communicated as a reduction in photosynthetic action and growth rate and brings about the modification

in nitrogen and carbon metabolic procedure (Mwanamwenge et al., 1999; Cornic and Masacci, 1996). The plant reaction is multi directional as it communicates the space and time size, the mix of stress reactions and interpretations at all underlying authoritative levels (Blum, 1996). Under open environment conditions these extraordinary impacts could be inimically or synergistically adjusted by the suppression of different stresses (Yordanov et al., 2003). In spite of the fact that restricted water status is a paramount component in various abiotic burdens which at last dify the life structures, morphology and physiology of the plants and its tolerance shifts around plant community.

Alleviation of drought stress by plants

Plants can utilize a combination of differing key alternatives focused around the evasion and drought stress. Case in point, in water deficit locales, winter blossoming annuals shows similarly short life cycle with quickly developing speed in the season of dampness accessibility to evade water stress (Epstein and Bloom, 2005; Levitt, 1980). Different sorts of avoidance like stomata conclusion to lessen water evaporation and altering sink and source designations by pushing development of root and diminishing plant spread by blocking development and shadings of most established leaves (Fischer and Turner, 1978). Propelled senescence and abscission of leaves are identified with water lack regularly as an in tend to decrease size of canopy. This vital approach in perennial crops plays for the survival of the plants and the vegetation cycle finishing water stress nature.

Seed priming is one of the best practices that can help plants to with stand water deficiency conditions in which seeds are mostly hydrated to a time when begin of the germination pushing metabolic activities are begun without the rise of the radical (Farooq et al., 2009). There is inclination to expand germination rates consistency in germination and high germination rates in the primed seeds (Farooq et al., 2007 and Kaya et al., 2006). Currently, the work is under center to assess different ornamental plants including petunia to study the drought impacts and their adjustment in drought conditions. In recently explored aerobic rice culturing method, the event and degree of water stress may support manifolds. In drought influenced areas there is incredible germination of priming seeds, emergence of seedlings was speedier primed seeds sprouted well and seedling developed quicker and more uniform, ending to enhanced yield (Harris et al., 2002). The supportive impacts of seed priming are impeded with quicker emergence of seedlings of ornamental plants and early blossoming even in water shortfall conditions (Kaur et al., 2005). Requisition of natural or artificial plant growth promoters has enhanced the recognition limit of plants under drought stress environment. Around all different components exhibited in the soil, silicon is the second most bounteous for the entire vegetation. It is apparent from numerous studies, that the growth, mechanical quality and nutritious level of the plants is enhanced under water deficit environment (Epstein, 1994). In higher plants it is not specified as a crucial component as its chemistry and biology in higher plants is not yet comprehended (Gong et al., 2003). In general, numerous researchers has acknowledged silicon an essential contribution for the maintainability of plants under dry spells conditions.

Changes in the concentration of the osmo-protectants as affected by drought

As an effects of different a-biotic stresses there is the amassing of the natural solutes which help plants to manage water potential by overcoming the dehydration of cells (Bohnert et al, 1995). These osmolites

which are basically organic in nature are comprises of amino acids i.e proline, different sugars and glycincbetainc which is quaternary ammonium compound. Dry spell brings about the aggregations of abscisic corrosive in plants (AHA), and different osmolites including proline, mannilol and sorbitol, establishment of radical searching mixes tascorbate and glutathione, a tocopherol and so forth matched by the arrangement of new protein and mRJNAs (Yordanov et al, 2003). Glycinebetaine is quaternary ammonium compound broad around the stressed plants and is discovered most bounteously in higher plants when some anxiety is applied on them (Mansour, 2002: Yang et al., 2003). The nature and seriousness of stressed environment straightforwardly influence the biosynsthesis of the glycinebetaine. As said by Hanson and Wyse (1982), Grieve and Maas (1984). Rhodes and Hanson (1993) expressed that inadequate water conditions in plants glycinebetain synsthesis is combined by the framing of the elevated amount of choline from serine with the assistance of etahanol amine, later on, which is exchanged to glyeincbactine. Different studies have depicted that greater part of the plants collect glycinebetaine in reactions to water stress which are popular as GB aggregators (Rhodes and Hanson,1993; Stewart and Lee, 1979).

Making of proline in higher plants is useful in plants in water deficit conditions and is critical osmolite to settle the fundamental organelles including membranes and protein structures (Ozturk and Demir, 2002; Rhodes et al., 1999). Osmotic conformity is under the activated by the proline which is available in cytosol under different anxieties (Voetberg and Sharp. 1991. There is confirmation from numerous studies that left creation of proline is variable which makes the plants solid and serves to with stand under stress conditions. (Szegletes et al. 2000; Kong et al, 2001; Aspinall and Palag, 1982: Hsu et al., 2003).

Physiological responses of plants to drought

Limited dampness in the soil is one of the significant constraining elements for crop growth and improvement diminishment and it is going to be more critical alongside the changes in worldwide atmosphere. Recently, different deliberations are concentrated on screening dry spell safe ornamentals and woody plants for water lack regions. Understandings of the sensations that enhance water stress tolerances in plants, can prompt the compensation of physiological capacities in a finer manner (Pattangual and Madore, 1999) and to acclimation of plants under water shortage conditions is vital for the fruitful control of such objectives (Yordanov et al., 2000). The damage to membranes is one of the antagonistic impacts of dry season stressed plants. This in careful correspond the working of ionic transporters and membrane partnered catalysts in plant body. Membranes of chloroplast are extremely delicate to oxidation procedure and the harms brought about by the establishment of huge mixtures of ROS on these transporters. ROS can result in far reaching for every oxidation and deesterification of lipids on membrane, eventually prompting protein de-naturation and obliteration of nucleic acids (Bowler et al., 1992). Comparable sorts of effects were additionally anticipated by Karthikeyan et al. (2007) who expressed that development is a standout amongst the most dry spell responsive physiological ascribe because of the decrease in turgor pressure. Cell growth and advancement can just happens when turgor pressure is more than the cell wall yield edge level. Water stress decidedly lessens the cell development and extension because of the basic turgor pressure. Water insufficiency can puts pressure on plants in different ways. In the title of "physiological window" gentle dry spell instigation in plants, regulation of

water misfortune from the cells and uptake permits repair of their leaf relative water content (RWC) inside the points of confinement where photosynthetic limit and quantum gross yield displays next to zero progressions. The most antagonistic manifestation of dampness misfortune is parching of cells, in which greater part of the protoplasmic liquid is lost and just a less amount of firmly bound water followed in the cell (Yordanov et al., 2003).

Changes in plant physiology and biomass production

Prolonged dry spell has intense and extreme consequences for plant health. Most imperative dangerous effect of water deficiency on cultivated and naturally developing plants is the decrease in plant bio-mass synthesis (Pattangual and Madore, 1999; Ashraf and O'leary, 1996; Tahir and Mehdi, 2001). Drought stress brings about a foremost influences on the leaf qualities as water lack could well influence the timing of leaf growth without restricting aggregate annual growth. Cell division and enlargement is plant activity that is most helpless against water stress. Leaf development and photosynthetic processing includes cell growth and their confinements may be modified by sum water stress and span that don't influence photosynthetic activity and aggregate annual growth. Watering system patterns cause noteworthy changes in the timing of leaf and photosynthtic production (Hsiao et al., 1976). Manivannan et al., (2007) contended that there is more purported death of leaf in the plant like Abelmoschu esculenius under severe drought stress conditions. Turner (1986) likewise argued that dry season or water stress which brought about the absence of noteworthy relaxtaion and seemed to prompt leaf senescence and death of tissues.

Drought stress has huge consequences for leaf characteristics as numerous analysts had guaranteed that water deficiency is the deciding component, thusly, restricting plant working throughout the arid season in tropical forests of different nations. They proposed an extent of influences to dry-season circumstances depending on plant xerophytes and morphological habits and dry season's soil water levels (Rebi et al.2021) For meso-morphic plants developing in tropical dry timberlands they proposed that leaf fall and deciduousness ought to happen as effects to expanding water push promptly in the water lack administration season and that consequent bud break ought to just happen after a sensible precipitation. For similarly xeromorphic plants in tropical sprinkle woodlands, scientists suggested that dry spell could be motivation to quicken leaf passing and falling of leaves and to stop bud break (Reich and Borchert, 1984).

Rucker el al. (1995) contended that leaf zone was under anxiety antagonistically in both primary stem and tillers of all growing plants. Decrease in area of leaf due to water anxiety is a critical reason for decreasing yield of crops by declining the rate of photosynthesis. The diminishing in stature of the plant and area of leaves because of dampness stress potentially is interfaced with the abatement in expansion of cell and enthusiastic senescence of leaf in Abelmoschus esculentum. In an alternate investation, comparable discoveries have been investigated by Schuppler et al. (1998) that leaf enlargement is for the most part more responsive than root extension. Lessened leaf growth is certain to plants submerged deficiency condition, as less territory of leaf is under stress, bringing about decreased transpiration rate. As per different discoveries, a huge mixture of experienced plants including cotton may be helpless against dry spell in counter by advertising senescence and abscission layer improvement of the older leaves in plants. An alternate name of this methodology is leaf area alteration. Leaf zone conformity is paramount

to proceed with control of water use in crop plants. Leaf zone is shortened altogether under drought conditions. This decrease in region happened before stomatal conductance decreased in the lingering feasible zone of leaf. Reduction in leaf region by water anxiety is an imperative reason for less yield by decrease in photosynthetic activity. Leaf water potential and osmotic potential and relative dampness substance decreased in drought influenced plants at all growth periods of plants. The decrease in osmotic potential in view of water shortfall was high as contrasted with the water potential of leaf at all development stages demonstrating the limit of the leaves to proceed with turgor modification through osmotic potential parity (Sundaravalli et al., 2005). Water stress has maintained consequences for the stem lengthening and spread. It altogether decreased the development of main stem as Sun and Dickinson (1995) contended that decreasing stem tallness was seen in different types of the plants like Eucalyptus camaldulensis (Sehlechl.) and Casuarina cunmnehamiana (Miq.) trees at the age of 36. Nonetheless, the width of the plants was not changed because of high dry spell levels. Water deficiency was an extremely noteworthy deciding variable at the beginning period of plant development and association. There was a critical decreasing in plant height in Populus cathayana species under shortfall water stress. Morphological and physiological changes in the root/shoot ratio of the plants unsual changes in net gathering of stores in the stem submerged anxiety circular segment trigered by the modifications in carbon and nitrogen metabolism rates. It was clear under drought that trunk length was diminished in Albizzia seedlings under dry season nature's environment (Nautiyal et al., 2002 ; Schuppler et al., 1998 and Sundaravalli et al., 2005). Same discoveries have been demonstrated by Manivannan et al. (2007) who found that decrease in plant tallness could be imparted to the decrease in cell growth and more senescence of leaves in the plants of Abelmoschus esculentus under drought conditions.

Dry spell modifies plant growth and advancement at different levels of association as Singh et al. (1973) specified that long haul water shortage is capable to decay the biomass in stringy roots of Avocado varieties. It is clear from numerous studies that water use proficiency which is customarily characterized as the proportion of dry matter aggregations to water utilization in a growing season. Water use efficiency fundamentally builds drought conditions and is termed as an essential versatile qualities in plants. He likewise contended that dry season conditions decreased root length in trees like populus species. Root amplification is normally consolidated by soil drying of water, however is typically less modified than shoot development and now and again may even be quickened which brings about an increase in root to shoot degrees under inadequate water content in soils (Wang and Yarnauchi, 2006 ; Yin et al, 2005).

Additionally, Passioura (2005) contended that qualities of root particularly root length (cm), thickness of root and the amount of thick roots is imperative for the plants to have generally overall structured above soil surface parts by possibly utilizing the accessible water saves. Root framework that pushes the limit of a plant to tie water is an essential and all inclusive versatile system in the plants to adapt to dry season stress.

Importance of drought tolerance species in landscape

In sprawling urban communities and towns establishment and upkeep of green spaces is getting prominence in different nations of the world both planning to conserve nature and to enhance aesthetic satisfaction of the premises. This is getting more prominence in Mediterranean atmosphere which shows low and incidental rainfall, broad radiation, high summer and low and rambling least winter temperature

(Terradas and Save, 1992). To adapt to unfavorable exceptional ecological conditions screening of the ornamental plant species works a ton to select a germplasm tolerant to these burdens. Around all types of the ornamental plants capability of the ground covers to battle the dry season prune environment in urban landscape shows in the urban areas (Zhu, 2001). Utilization of herbaceous perennials groundcover in these showcases might be constrained by brutal ecological conditions including dry season, high temperature and less fertile soil. Despite the fact that, plants can react differentially to diverse stresses concerning their hereditary, morphological and physiological characters. It is additionally correct that likenesses in plant conduct are likewise normal because of dry season stress which triggers the ionic and osmotic harms to plants (Zhu, 2001). Boarders are likewise a fundamental part of landscape. In boarders basically annuals are utilized subsequently around different approaches to face dry season growing of brief time annuals may prove better decision in water insightful landscape plans, as they finish their life cycle in brief time with durable effects. With concern in saving of water, today's landscape basically base on the utilization of dry season tolerant species and this level of drought tolerance shift around different varieties too. The present study will be useful to get to the degree of drought tolerance in petunia.

Conclusion

Plant drought tolerance varies greatly depending on genotype, habitat, and soil or substrate characteristics. Drought tolerance processes in landscape plants are comparable to those in agricultural crops, although drought tolerance for these plants should be judged primarily on growth effects rather than aesthetic value. Because of the large variety of plant species that could be used for decorative purposes, genotypes suitable for drought situations should be accessible.

The need to experimentally study a wide range of plant species to select those most suitable for certain settings; (ii) establishing factors with simple measurements to discriminate tolerance to drought stress, are all problems that arise in research. (iii) customising irrigation technologies or plant management tactics to help selected species deal with water stress.

The study of plant drought response mechanisms, notably signal transduction and drought tolerance development, allows for the selection of suitable plants and management strategies for the cultivation or use of decorative plants in drought-prone settings.

Reference

Ahmad. S. 2002. Inheritance of some characters in Okra {Ahelmoschits Esculent us L. Mocnch) under drought conditions. Thesis, Ph.D. Dept. PI. Br. Gene., Sindh agri. Uni. Tando Jam (Pakistan).

Akhtar, K., A. R. Rao and M. Ashraf. 2000a. Morphological adaptation of seven mungbean ecotypes at varying levels of water stress. Bangladesh J. Agri. Res., 25: 367-373.

Akhtar, K., M. Ashraf and A. R. Rao. 2000b. Evaluation indices for identification of product of mungbean (Vigna radiate L.Wilczek) genotypes under different water regimes. Pak. J. Biol. Sci., 3: 1-4.

Ashraf, M 2004. Some important physiological selection criteria lor salt tolerance in plants. Flora, 199: 361-376.

Ashraf, M. 2006. Tolerance of some potential forage grasses from arid regions of Pakistan to salinity and drought. Biosaline Agriculture and Salinity Tolerance in Plants. 15-27.

Ashraf, M.Y. and A.M. Khan. 1993. Effect of drought stress on wheal paint in early stages. Pak. J. Agri. Res. 14(4): 261-269

Aspinall, D. and L. G. Palag. 1982. Proline accumulation physiological aspects. In: Physiology and Biochemistry of drought Resistance in Plants (Palag, L.G. and D Aspinall, eds.) pp: 205-241. Academic Press Sydney.

Blum, A. 1974. Genotypic responses in sorghum to drought stress: II. Leaf tissue water relation. Crop Sci., 14: 691-692.

Blum, A. 1996. Crop responses to drought and the interpretation of adaptation. Plant Growth Regulation, 20: 135-148.

Bohnert, H. J., D. E. Nelson and R. E. Jensen. 1995. Adaptation to environmental stresses. Plant Cell, 7: 1099-1111.

Bowler, C. M., V. Montagu and D. Inze. 1992. Superoxide dismutasc and stress tolerance. Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol Biol., 43: 83-116.

Boyer. J. S. 1982. Plant productivity and environment. Science, 218:443-448.

Brock. M. A. 1981. Accumulation of proline in submerged aquatic halophyte. Occalogia. 51:217-219.

Chaves, M. M., J. S. Pereira, J. Maroco, M. L Rodrigues. C. P. Ricardo. M. E. Osorio. I. Carvalho. T. Earia. and C. Pinheiro. 2002. How plants cope with water stress in the field. Photosynthesis and growth. Annals Bot., 89: 907-916.

Clifford, S. C, S. K. Arant. J. B. Corlett. S. Joshi. N. S. Joshi. N. S. Sankhla, M. Poopp and H.G. Jones. 1998. The role of solute accumulation, osmotic adjustment and changes in cell wall elasticity in drought tolerance in Zizyphus ruauriiiana. J. Exp. Bot., 49: 967-977.

Cornic, G., J. Ghashghaie, B. Genty and J. M. Briantais. 1992. Leaf photosynthesis is resistant to a mild drought stress. Photosynthesis, 27: 295-309.

Davies, B. 1976. Carotenoids. In: chemistry and biochemistry of plant pigment (Goodwin. I. W. Ed.) Academic press. London., 2:38-165 (2nd Edition).

Davies, W. J. and J. Zhang. 1991. Root signals and the regulation of growth and development of plant in drying soil. Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol., 42: 55-76.

Dickson, R. E. and P. T. Tomlinson. 1996. Oak growth, development and carbon metabolism in relation 10 water deficits in higher plants. Plant Cell Environ., 25: 275-294.

El-Far, I.A and A.Y. Allan. 1995. Responses of some wheat cultivars to sowing methods at different stages of growth. J. Agric. Sci. 26(1): 267–77.

Epstein, E. 1994. The anomaly of silicon in plant biology. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci., 91:11-17.

Epstein, E. and A. J. Bloom. 2005. Mineral Nutrition of Plants: Principles and Perspectives (Sinauer. Sunderland. MA). 2nd Ed.

Farooq, M. S. M. A. Basra and N. Ahmad. 2007. Improving the performance of transplanted rice by seed priming. Plant Growth Regul., 51:129-137.

Farooq, M., A. Wahid, N. Kobayashi, D. Fujita and S. M. A. Basra. 2009. Plant drought stress: effects, mechanisms and management. Agron. Sustain. Dev., 29: 185–212.

Farooq, M., A.Wahid, N. Kobayashi, D. Fujita and S. M. A. Basra. 2009. Plant drought stress: effects, mechanisms and management. Agron. Sustain. Dev., 29: 185-212.

Fischer, R. A. and N. G. Turner. 1978. Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Interactive effects of CO2 and water stress on potato canopy growth and development. Plant Mol. Bio., 29:277-317.

Geber, M.A., T.E. Dawson. 1990. Genetic variation in and covariation between leaf gas exchange, morphology and development in Polygonum arenastrum, an annual plant. Oecologia. 85: 153-158.

Gong. H., K. Chen, G. Chen, S. Wang and C. Zhang. 2003. Effects of silicon on growth of wheat under drought. J. Plant Nutr., 26: 1055-1063.

Grieve, C. M. and E. V. Maas. 1984. Betaine accumulation in salt-stressed sorghum. Plant Physiol., 61: 167-171.

Hameed MU, Wahab AA, Raza HA, Haroon M, Adeel M, Rebi A, Shah SA, Usman M, Naz M, Ghazanfar S.(2021). A Study of the Imperatives and Repercussions of Sewage Water in Vegetables Production in District Faisalabad Pakistan: A Farmer's Perspective. Annals of the Romanian Society for Cell Biology. 25:1350-65.

Hanson, A. D. and C. E. Nelsen. 1978. Betaine accumulation and formate metabolism in water stressed barley leaves. Plant Physiol., 120: 29-38.

Hanson, A. D. and R. Wyse. 1982. Biosynthesis, translocation and accumulation of betaine in sugar beet and its proginators in relation to salinity. Plant physiol., 62: 305-312.

Harris D., R. S. Tripalhi and A. Joshi. 2002. On-farm seed priming to improve crop establishment and yield in dry direct-seeded rice, In: Pandey S., M. Mortimer. L. Wade, T.P. Tuong, K. Lopes and B. Hardy (Eds.). Direct seeding: Research Strategies and Opportunities. International Research Institute, Manila. Philippines, 231-240.

Hattori, T., S. Inanaga, E.Taniinoto, A. Lux, M. Luxova and Y. Sugimolo. 2003. Silicon-induced changes in viscoelaslic properties of sorghum root cell walls. Plant Cell Physiol., 44: 743-749.

Herbinger, K., Tausz, M., A. Wonisch, G. Soja, A. Sorger and D. Grill. 2002. Complex interactive effects of drought and ozone stress on the antioxidant defence systems of two wheat cultivars. Plant Physiol. Biochem. 40: 691–696.

Hsiao, T. and L. K. Xu. 2000. Sensitivity of growth of roots versus leaves to water stress: biophysical analysis and relation to water transport. J. Exp. Bot., 51: 195-1616.

Hsiao, T., C. E. Acevedo, E. Fereres and D. W. Henderson. 1976. Water stress, growth and osmotic adjustment. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society, London, 273:479-500.

Hsu, S. Y., Y. T. Hsu and C. H. Kao. 2003. The effect of polyethylene glycol on proline accumulation in rice leaves. Biol. Plant., 46:73-78.

Jones, C. H.L. J. Sonder, and J. R. Unruh.1996. Implications of Topography for Tectonics of the SW U.S., Geol. Soc. Am. Abstr. Prog., 28 (7), A-513.

Karthikeyan, B., C A. Jaleel. R. Gopi and M. Deiveekasundaram. 2007. Alterations in seedling vigour and antioxidant enzyme activities in Catharanthus roseus under seed priming with native diazotrophs. J. Zhejiang Univ. Sci. Bot., 8: 453- 457.

Kaur, S., A. K. Gupta and N. Kaur. 2005. Seed pruning increases crop yield possibly by modulating enzymes of sucrose metabolism in chickpea. J. Agron. Crop Sci., 191: 81-87.

Khan MU, Saddique MB, Ali Z, Hussain MB, Rehman T, Rebi A, Kakar ZA, Saeed S. Fungal and bacterial endophytes for rice improvement with special reference to drought stress. Nat. Volatiles & Essent. Oils. 2021;8(5):7445-57.

Kong, Y., G. Zhou and Y. Wang. 2001. Physiological characteristics and alternative respiratory pathways under salt stress in two wheat cultivars differing in salt tolerance. Russ. J. Plant Physiol., 48: 595-600.

Kpyoarissis, A, Y. Petropoulou and Y Manetas. 1995. Summer survival of leaves in a soft-leaved shrub (Phlomis fruticosa L., Labiatae) under Mediterranean field conditions: avoidance of photo inhibitory damage through decreased chlorophyll contents. Journal of Experimental Botany, 46: 1825-1831.

Kramer. P. J. and J. S. Boyer. 1995. Water Relations of Plants and Soils. San Diego: Academic Press.

Kubis, J. 2003. Poly-amines and "scavenging system": Influence of exogenous spermidine on catalase and guaiacol peroxidase activities, and free polyamine level in barley leaves under water deficit. Acta Physiol. Plant., 25: 337-343.

Levitt, J. 1980. Responses of plants to environmental stresses. J. Levitt Academic Press. New York.

Liu, J. H., H. Kitashiba, J. Wang, Y. Ban and T. Moriguch. 2007. Polyamines and their ability to provide environmental stress tolerance to plants. Plant Biotechnol., 24: 117-126.

Liu, X. and Huang, B. 2000. Heal stress injury in relation to membrane lipid membrane maintenance in tall fescue. Crop Sci., 32: 251-256.

Mafakheri1, A., A. Siosemardeh, B. Bahramnejad, P.C. Struik and Y. Sohrabi. 2010. Effect of drought stress on yield, proline and chlorophyll contents in three chickpea cultivars. Aust. J. Crop Sci., 4(8): 580-585.

Mahmood, A., M. Ather. R. Qadri and N. Mahmood. 2008. Effect of NaCl Salinity on Growth, Nodulalion and total Nitrogen Content in Sesbania sesban. Agriculturae Conspectus Scientificus, 73 (3): 137-141.

Mahmood, A., Rafique, M.A., Yaseen, G., Zaib, M., Arif, M., Naseem, M., Kousar, R., Nawaz, S., Hussain, M.N., Ahmed, M.I., Afzal, A., Ansa A., 2021. Effect Of Global Change And Possible Ways To Reduce Its Adverse Impact On Agriculture In The Overall World: A Review. NVEO-NATURAL VOLATILES & ESSENTIAL OILS Journal| NVEO.16252-16278.

Mansour, MM. F. 2002. Protection of plasma membrane of oinion epidermal cells by glycinebetaine and proline against NaCl stress. Plant Physiol. Biochem., 36: 767-772.

Meyer, S. and B. Genty. 1998. Mapping intercellular CO2 mole fraction (Ci) in Rosa rubiginosa leaves fed with abscisic acid by using chlorophyll fluorescence imaging: significance of Ci estimated from leaf gass exchange, Plant Physiol., 116: 947-957.

Munne-Bosch, S., and J. Penuelas. 2003. Photo and antioxidant protection, and a role for salicylic acid during drought and recovery in filed grown Phillyrea angustifolia plant. Plant, 217: 758-766.

Munns, R. 2002. Comparative physiology of salt and water stress. Trop. Agric., 25: 239-250.

Nawaz, Shahrish, Ansa Rebi, Ghulam Yaseen Khaliq-Ur-Rehman Arshad, Muhammad Arif, Asifa Naz, Aftab Ahmad Khan, Nadeem Hussain, Asim Pervez, Muhammad Ibrar Ahmed, and Arshad Mahmood. "Effect Of Waste Water On Chemical Properties Of Soil In Sargodha Region." NVEO-NATURAL VOLATILES & ESSENTIAL OILS Journal| NVEO (2021): 5173-5180.

Ormaetxe, I., P. R. Escuredo, C. Arrese-Igor and M. Becana. 1998. Oxidative damage in pea plants exposed to water deficit or paraquat. Plant Physiol., 116: 173-181.

Ozturk, L. and Y. Demir. 2002. In vivo and in vitro protective role of proline. Plant Growth Regul., 38: 259-264.

Pardo, J. M., M.P. Reddy, S. Yang, A. Maggio, G.H. Huh, T. Mutasumoto, M.A. Coca, H. Koiwa, D.J. Yun, A.A. Watad, R.A. Bressan and P.M. Hasegawa. 1998. Stress signaling through Ca2+calmodulin-dependent protein phosphatase calcineurin mediates salt adaptation in plants. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 95: 9681-9686.

Passioura, J. B. 2005. The role of root system characteristics in the drought resistance of crop plants, in: Drought Resistance in Crops with the Emphasis on Rice. IRRI. Loss Banos, Philippines, 71-82.

Raheja, P. C. 1966. Aridity and salinity. In "Salinity and Aridity". New Approaches to old Problems, Tunk. The Hague, 10-42.

Ram, M., S.N. Garge, G. Ram and S. Kumar, 2000. Plant population and yields in Tagetes pataula and Tagetes erecta. J. of Herbs, spices & Medicinal Plants. 7(3): 1-5.

Rao, A., R. Arshad and M. Shafiq. 1989. Cholistan-Climate and vegetation. Perennial grass Germplasm of Cholistan Desert and its Physiology: Regul., 20: 135-148.

Rassaa, N., H.B.H. Salahb, K. Latiri. 2008. Thermal responses of durum wheat Triticum aestivum to early water stress; consequence on leaf and flower development. Plant Biol. Pathol. 331(5): 363–71.

Reich, P. B. and R. Borchert. 1984. Water stress and tree phenology in a tropical dry forest in the lowlands of Costa Rica. Journal of Ecology, 72: 61-74.

Rebi, Ansa, Talha Rehman, Muhammad Wahaj, Hafiz Ali Raza, Muhammad Usman, Nimra Gul, Muqaddas Bano et al. "Impact of Sewage Water on Human Health and Agricultral Land: A Review." Annals of the Romanian Society for Cell Biology. 25: 1366-1376.

Rebi, A., Ahmed, M.I., Saeed, A., Rehman, T., Mansha, M.N., Nawaz, S., Ali, A., Waseem, A., Afzal, A., Farooq, M. and Naz, M., 2021. Soil ecology and possible and possible effects of soil texture microbial assisted Co2 sequestration. NVEO-NATURAL VOLATILES & ESSENTIAL OILS Journal, 7458-7480.

Rhodes, D. and A. D. Hanson. 1993. Quaternary ammonium and tertiary sulfonium compounds in higher plants. Annu. Rev. Plants Physiol., Plant Mol. Biol., 44: 357-384.

Rhodes, D., P. E. Verslues and R. E. Sharp. 1999. Role of acids in abiotic stress resistance amino acids: Biochem and Biotch. Marcel Dekker. New York. 349-356.

Rucker, K. S., C. K. Kevin, C. C. Holbrook and J. E. Hook. 1995. Identification of peanut genotypes with improved drought avoidance traits. Peanut Sci., 22: 14-18.

Siddique. B. M. R., A. Hamid and M.S. Islam. 1999. Drought stress effect on photosynthetic rate and leaf gas exchange of wheat. Bot. Bull. Acad., 40: 141-145.

Stewart. C. R. and J. A. Lee. 1979. The rate of proline accumulation in halophytes. Plants, 120:279-284.

Szegletes. Z. S., L. Erdei. O. I. Tari and L. Cseuz. 2000. Accumulation of osmoprotectants in wheat cultivars of different drought tolerance. Cereal Res. Commun, 28: 403-410.

Taiz, L and E. Zeiger. 2002. Planl Physiology, 3rd Edition. Senauer Assoc., Sunderland, crops. Pak. J. Agri. Sci., 27(2): 195-210.

Terradas. J. and R. Save. 1992. Summer-winter double stress and water relationships as clue factors in the distribution of Quercus ilex L. Vegetation, 99-100:137-145.

Turner, N. C. 1986. Adaptation to water stress deficits: a changing perspective. Aust. J. Plant Physiol., 13: 175-190.

Voetberg, F. D. and R. J. Sharp. 1991. Effects of plant extract of Bothriochloa pertusa and Urochloa mosambicensis on seed germination and seedling growth of Stylosanthes hamala cv. Verano and Stylosanthess scbra cv. Seca. Aust. J. Agric. Res., 48: 1257-1264.

Williams, M. H., E. Rosenqvist and M. Buchhave. 1999. Response of potted miniature roses (Rosa x hybrida) to reduced water availability during production. J. Horti. Sci. Biotcchnol., 74: 301-308..

Yang, W. J., P. J. Rich, J. D. Aztell. K. V. Wood and C. C. Bonham. 2003. Genotypic variation for glycine betaine in sorghum. Crop Sci., 43: 162-169.

Yordanov, I., V. Velikova and T. Tsonev. 2003. Plant responses to drought and stress tolerance. Bulg. J. Plant physiol. special issue. 187-206.

Yordanov, I., T. Tsonev, V. Gottsev, L. Kruleva and V. Velikova. 1997. Interactive effect of water deficit and high temperature on photosynthesis of sunflower and maize plants. Changes in parameters of chlorophyll fluorescence induction kinetics and fluorescence quenching. Photosynthesis, 33: 391-402.

Yordanov, I., V. Velikova and T. Tsonev. 2000. Plant responses to drought acclimation, and stress tolerance. Photosynthesis, 38: 171-186.

Zhu. J. K. 2001. Cell signaling under salt, water and cold stresses. Current Opinion in Plant Bio, 4: 401-406.