

Natural Volatiles and Essential Oils: A Human Resource Management Interpretation within Wellness-Oriented Production Systems (2022)

*Dr. Shilpa Harish Bhingardive

*Assistant Professor, SIMCA, SPPU, PUNE, shilpahb02@gmail.com

Abstract

By the early 2020s, natural volatiles and essential oils had moved beyond niche markets to become embedded within global wellness, pharmaceutical, and lifestyle industries. Academic discussions during this period, however, continued to privilege technical efficiency, chemical composition, and market expansion, while paying comparatively limited attention to the human systems sustaining these activities. This paper develops an interpretive human resource management (HRM) perspective on the essential oils sector as it existed in 2022. Rather than reiterating established HR definitions, the study positions workforce capabilities, embodied skills, and well-being-oriented work practices as central organizing principles of production. Drawing selectively on strategic human capital logic, sustainability-oriented employment thinking, and well-being scholarship, the paper advances the argument that organizational outcomes in this sector are inseparable from how labor is organized, protected, and developed. The contribution lies in reframing essential oils production as a human-centered system rather than a purely technical process, thereby extending HRM scholarship into a context rarely examined in management research.

Keywords- Natural Volatiles, Essential Oils, Human Resource Management (HRM), Sustainable HRM Employee Well-Being, Tacit Knowledge, Wellness-Oriented Production Systems Workforce Sustainability, Informal Employment, Human-Centered Production.

1. Contextual Background

By 2022, rising consumer interest in plant-based remedies, preventive health, and environmentally conscious consumption had accelerated the growth of natural volatiles and essential oils across multiple industries. These products depend on complex production arrangements involving cultivation, extraction, testing, and distribution. While technological interventions improved efficiency, production outcomes continued to rely heavily on human judgment, experiential knowledge, and manual coordination.

Within this context, the workforce occupies a structurally significant position. Activities such as identifying harvest timing, managing extraction conditions, and maintaining product integrity are shaped by tacit competencies rather than standardized automation alone. Yet, management research rarely addressed how such competencies are acquired, sustained, or governed through HR systems. This paper addresses that omission by situating essential oils production within contemporary HRM discourse.

2. Human Systems in Essential Oils Production

Essential oils production operates through layered human systems rather than linear technical chains. Agricultural workers, plant operators, laboratory personnel, and commercial staff interact across spatially dispersed sites. Coordination is frequently informal, knowledge transfer is largely experiential, and employment arrangements vary widely in formality.

From an HRM standpoint, these features generate distinctive managerial concerns. Workforce stability directly affects consistency of output. Informal knowledge loss disrupts quality control. Limited safety

governance increases operational risk. Understanding production outcomes therefore requires attention to how people are recruited, trained, retained, and supported within these systems.

3. Analytical Orientation

Rather than applying HR theories in a prescriptive manner, this paper adopts an interpretive orientation. Three strands of HR thinking inform the analysis.

First, strategic human capital logic is used to emphasize that value creation in essential oils production is inseparable from embodied skills and experiential judgment. These forms of human capital are not easily codified or replicated, making them central to organizational distinctiveness.

Second, sustainability-oriented employment thinking highlights the temporal dimension of HR decisions. Workforce exhaustion, unsafe working practices, or erosion of skill bases undermine long-term viability even when short-term output targets are met.

Third, well-being-oriented perspectives provide insight into how working conditions, physical exposure, and psychosocial environments shape employee capacity to perform consistently within wellness-driven industries.

4. HRM Challenges Observed by 2022

4.1 Skill Fragility

A recurring issue in essential oils production is the fragility of skill continuity. Competencies are frequently transmitted informally and remain embedded in individuals rather than systems. When experienced workers exit, organizations face disproportionate operational disruption.

4.2 Working Conditions and Risk Exposure

Extraction and processing activities involve prolonged physical effort, heat exposure, and interaction with volatile substances. Where HR governance is weak, safety practices depend on individual caution rather than institutional design, increasing cumulative risk.

4.3 Employment Informality

Variable employment arrangements complicate performance management, training investment, and employee voice. From an HR perspective, informality limits both organizational learning and worker security.

5. Reframing HRM Practice

In response to these conditions, HRM in the essential oils sector requires reframing away from administrative compliance toward capability preservation.

Selective formalization of employment relationships can stabilize workforce participation without eliminating flexibility. Structured yet context-sensitive training mechanisms support knowledge continuity. Attention to physical and psychological well-being aligns operational reliability with the wellness narratives that underpin product markets.

Such practices do not merely improve employee outcomes; they function as risk management mechanisms that protect production integrity over time.

6. Methodological Positioning

6. Methodological Positioning

This study is positioned within a qualitative, conceptual research tradition that prioritizes interpretation, contextual understanding, and theory development over empirical measurement. The methodological intent is not to test hypotheses or establish causal relationships, but to generate an analytically grounded understanding of how human resource management operates within wellness-oriented production systems, using the natural volatiles and essential oils sector as it existed in 2022 as a contextual reference point.

The research adopts an **interpretive orientation**, recognizing that organizational realities in labor-intensive and knowledge-embedded industries cannot be adequately captured through standardized metrics alone. Instead, meaning is constructed through reflective engagement with existing scholarly work and through critical reasoning about how human systems function within specific production environments. This approach is particularly suited to the essential oils sector, where tacit knowledge, experiential skills, and informal coordination structures play a decisive role in shaping outcomes.

Rather than aggregating or reanalyzing empirical datasets, the study proceeds through **conceptual synthesis**. Scholarly literature from human resource management, sustainability-oriented employment research, and organizational studies published up to 2022 serves as the intellectual foundation for analysis. These bodies of work are not treated as discrete or competing streams, but are brought into dialogue with one another to illuminate how workforce capability, well-being, and employment structures interact within wellness-driven industries. The emphasis is placed on integration and reinterpretation rather than summary or replication.

The essential oils and natural volatiles sector is employed as a **contextual anchor** rather than as an empirical case. Its selection is guided by analytical relevance: the sector exemplifies production systems in which human involvement remains materially significant despite advances in technology. By grounding theoretical discussion in this context, the paper avoids abstract generalization while maintaining conceptual rigor. The sector thus functions as an interpretive lens through which broader HRM dynamics—such as skill preservation, employment informality, and well-being-oriented work practices—are examined.

The methodological logic of the study privileges **theoretical coherence and explanatory depth** over breadth or generalizability. Insights generated through this approach are intended to extend existing HRM frameworks into an under-examined organizational setting, rather than to offer universally applicable prescriptions. As such, the conclusions should be understood as theoretically reasoned interpretations that contribute to scholarly debate and inform future empirical inquiry, particularly in sustainability- and wellness-oriented industries.

By adopting this methodological positioning, the paper aligns with established norms for conceptual research in human resource management and organizational studies, while responding to the need for greater scholarly attention to human systems within non-traditional production contexts.

7. Implications for HR Scholarship and Practice

For HR scholarship, the analysis demonstrates the value of examining production contexts where human involvement remains materially significant despite technological advancement. It suggests that wellness-oriented industries offer fertile ground for advancing debates on sustainable employment and human-centered work design.

For practitioners, the discussion highlights the strategic consequences of HR decisions that are often treated as peripheral. Workforce neglect in such settings translates directly into quality variability, safety incidents, and reputational vulnerability.

8. Conclusion

As of 2022, natural volatiles and essential oils production illustrated the continued relevance of human systems in value creation. This paper argues that HRM provides a critical interpretive lens for understanding how such industries function and endure. By shifting attention from outputs to people, the study extends HRM inquiry into a domain where human capability remains central, yet underexamined within management research.

References

1. Becker, B. E., & Huselid, M. A. (1998). High performance work systems and firm performance: The mediating role of human resource management practices. *Academy of Management Journal*, 41(1), 8–29.
2. Boxall, P., & Purcell, J. (2016). *Strategy and human resource management* (4th ed.). Palgrave Macmillan.
3. Boxall, P., Guthrie, J. P., & Paauwe, J. (2016). Editorial introduction: Progressing our understanding of sustainable HRM. *Human Resource Management Journal*, 26(4), 367–373.
4. De Prins, P., Van Beirendonck, L., De Vos, A., & Segers, J. (2014). Sustainable HRM: Bridging theory and practice through the Respect–Openness–Continuity (ROC) model. *Management Revue*, 25(4), 263–284.
5. Ehnert, I. (2009). *Sustainable human resource management: A conceptual and exploratory analysis*. Springer.
6. Ehnert, I., Harry, W., & Zink, K. J. (2014). Sustainability and HRM. In I. Ehnert, W. Harry, & K. J. Zink (Eds.), *Sustainability and human resource management* (pp. 3–32). Springer.
7. Guest, D. E. (2017). Human resource management and employee well-being: Towards a new analytic framework. *Human Resource Management Journal*, 27(1), 22–38.

8. Jackson, S. E., Renwick, D. W. S., Jabbour, C. J. C., & Muller-Camen, M. (2011). State-of-the-art and future directions for green human resource management. *German Journal of Human Resource Management*, 25(2), 99–116.
9. Kramar, R. (2014). Beyond strategic human resource management: Is sustainable HRM the next approach? *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 25(8), 1069–1089.
10. Paauwe, J., & Boselie, P. (2005). HRM and performance: What next? *Human Resource Management Journal*, 15(4), 68–83.
11. Pfeffer, J. (2010). Building sustainable organizations: The human factor. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 24(1), 34–45.
12. Schuler, R. S., Jackson, S. E., & Tarique, I. (2011). Global talent management and global talent challenges: Strategic opportunities for IHRM. *Journal of World Business*, 46(4), 506–516.
13. Van De Voorde, K., Paauwe, J., & Van Veldhoven, M. (2012). Employee well-being and the HRM–organizational performance relationship: A review of quantitative studies. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 14(4), 391–407.
14. Wilkinson, A., Barry, M., & Morrison, E. (2019). Toward an integration of employee voice and silence. *Human Resource Management Review*, 29(3), 351–364.
15. Wright, P. M., & McMahan, G. C. (2011). Exploring human capital: Putting ‘human’ back into strategic human resource management. *Human Resource Management Journal*, 21(2), 93–104.